Case 1:20-cr-00044-ADA-BAM Document 92 Filed 12/12/22 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JUSTIN J. GILIO Assistant United States Attorneys 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, California 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000	
2		
3		
4		
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	United States of America	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:20-CR-00044-ADA-BAM
10	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO VACATE TRIAL AS TO
11	v.	TIRSO GARCIA-VALDEZ AND SET CASE FOR A STATUS CONFERENCEAND ORDER THEREON
12	TIRSO GARCIA-VALDEZ,	Court: Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	STIPULATION	
16	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
17	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
18	1. By previous order, this matter was set for a trial confirmation hearing on January 9, 2023	
19	at 10:00 a.m. and Jury Trial on February 7, 2023 at 8:30 a.m.	
20	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to vacate the trial confirmation hearing and the	
21	trial as to DEFENDANT GARCIA-VALDEZ and to set the case for a status conference on March 22.	
22	2023, at 1:00 p.m. before the Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe.	
23	3. The parties anticipate entering into a plea agreement and no longer plan to present the	
24	case to a jury. The government has produced all the discovery in the case. Defense need that additional	
25	time to meet with his client, conduct independent investigation, and negotiate a pretrial resolution of the	
26	case.	
27	4. The proposed status conference da	ate represents the earliest date that all counsel are
$_{28}$	The state of the s	1

available, taking into account counsels' schedules, defense counsels' commitments to other clients, and the court's available dates for a status conference. In addition, the public health concerns cited by General Orders 611, 612, 617, 618, and 620 and subsequent general orders presented by the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, an ends-of-justice delay is particularly apt in this case because counsel or other relevant individuals have been encouraged to telework and minimize personal contact to the greatest extent possible.

- 5. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
- a) Discovery in this case has been provided, and consists of voluminous investigative reports, wire interceptions recordings and electronic messages, precise location information data, and more, approximately 82,000 pages/files, as well as cellular phone downloads. Defense counsel requires additional time to review the discovery, to conduct additional investigation and legal research, and to confer with his client about how to proceed in this case. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.
- b) Counsel for the defendants believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - c) The government does not object to the continuance.
- d) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- e) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of December 6, 2022 to March 22, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 6. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the

Case 1:20-cr-00044-ADA-BAM Document 92 Filed 12/12/22 Page 3 of 3

Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial 1 2 must commence. 3 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 4 Dated: December 6, 2022 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney 5 6 By: /s/ JUSTIN J. GILIO JUSTIN J. GILIO 7 **Assistant United States Attorney** 8 Dated: December 6, 2022 9 /s/ David Torres Attorney for Defendant 10 TIRSO GARCIA-VALDEZ 11 12 **ORDER** 13 IT IS SO ORDERED that the trial confirmation hearing on January 9, 2023, and jury trial on 14 February 7, 2023, are vacated. A status conference is set for March 22, 2023, at 1:00 p.m. before 15 Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. Time is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), 16 B(iv). 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe Dated: **December 12, 2022** 19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28